IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

TUESDAY, THE 25TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 3RD ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 28361 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

S.V.LAKSHMI PRABHA, (MINOR), AGED 17,
D/O. R.VELAYUDHAN ASSARI, REP. BY HER FATHER
R.VELAYUDHAN ASSARI, S/O. RAMASWAMI ASSARI,
AGED 65 YRS, RESIDING AT 3RD PUTHEN STREET,
KUTTICAD LANE, SREEVARAHAM, P.O.MANACAUD,
TRIVANDRUM-9.

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.K.E.HAMZA

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
TRIVANDRUM.

2. THE COMMISSIONER FOR GOVERNMENT
EXAMINATIONS, PAREEKSHA BHAVAN, POOJAPPURA,
TRIVANDRUM-12.

3. THE HEADMASTER,
GOVERNMENT VOCATIONAL & HIGHER SECONDARY
SCHOOL FOR GIRLS, P.O.MANACAUD, TRIVANDRUM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.I.V.PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 25/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 28361 OF 2007
==========

Dated this the 25th day of September, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner, who completed SSLC under the old scheme complains that she could not remit the examination fee pursuant to Ext.P3 notification, as the whole family of hers was affected by illness. In support of this contention, petitioner has also produced Ext.P4 medical certificate. According to her, though the last date in terms of the notification had expired, she had remitted the fee as per Ext.P5. Subsequently she has made Ext.P7 representation before the 2nd respondent to permit her to sit for the examination particularly for the reason that this is the last chance available to her.

2. I heard the learned counsel for the writ petitioner and the learned Government Pleader in this behalf.

3. It is noted that the time in terms of the notification had expired at the time the fee was remitted by the petitioner. But then, the petitioner had reason for the delay and that is the illness as

certified by Ext.P4. Be that as it may, now that the petitioner has submitted Ext.P7 representation before the 2nd respondent, it is only appropriate that the 2nd respondent considers and pass orders on Ext.P7, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate before Part B Examination commences.

Petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment before the 2nd respondent for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp